Assessment of Marsh-Dependent Nekton in Restored Salt Marshes

Paola López-Duarte¹, Olaf Jensen², Charles Martin³, Michael Polito⁴, Jill A. Olin^{5*}

¹University of North Carolina at Charlotte, ²Rutgers University, ³University, ⁵Michigan Technological University, *presenting author (jaolin@mtu.edu)

Marsh Loss and Restoration

Without preventative action, coastal land loss in Louisiana is predicted to be ~4,500 km² in the next 50 years. The construction of tidal marshes such as the Lake Hermitage March Creation Project (LHMCP) and the use of river diversions such as the West Pointe a la Hache (WPH) siphon to build wetlands by reconnecting the Mississippi River to adjacent estuaries have been proposed to mitigate the land loss.



To understand how river diversions may influence the ecological trajectory, food web structure, and functionality of both natural and restored marshes, Year 1 Objective was to evaluate the onmarsh nekton community across sites and marsh subhabitats.

Timeline & Sampling Approach

Our sites include two created marshes and one natural marsh at the LHMCP as well as three natural marshes at varying distances from the WPH siphon.



Wire mesh traps (n = 3) were deployed 3 times for 1 hour at each subhabitat across 6 sites.

Individuals from various taxonomic groups and size classes were collected, identified, and measured.







Transformation: fourth root.



- Patterns observed may be associated with marsh landscape (e.g., marsh height, number of ponds, connectivity).
- \bullet
- (2018, 2019) and high freshwater (2020).

Acknowledgements This study is part the Linking Community and Food-Web Approaches to Restoration project, funded by the NOAA RESTORE Science Program. We would like to

On-Marsh Nekton Communities

At natural sites, mean Shannon diversity (H') values were higher in **ponds** relative to **creeks** and marsh **edges**. At the restored sites, the pattern of higher diversity in ponds did not hold.

Discussion and Next Steps

On-marsh nekton communities at different marsh subhabitats differ across sites.

To determine which drivers influence community structure, we will incorporate sitespecific data (e.g., pond sizes, marsh height) and characterize connectivity at each site.

We will compare nekton composition and abundance during periods of low freshwater





Sampled ponds ranged in size, distance from the edge, and degree of isolation

